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Virtually all the world's computer centers use an arithmetic procedure for generating random numbers. The most common of these is the multiplicative congruential generator first suggested by D. H. Lehmer. In this method, one merely multiplies the current random integer $I$ by a constant multiplier $K$ and keeps the remainder after overflow:

$$
\text { new } I=K \times \text { old } I \quad \text { modulo } M
$$

The apparently haphazard way in which successive multiplications by a large integer $K$ produce remainders after overflow makes the resulting numbers work surprisingly well for many Monte Carlo problems. Scores of papers have reported favorably on cycle length and statistical properties of such generators.

The purpose of this note is to point out that all multiplicative congruential random number generators have a defect-a defect that makes them unsuitable for many Monte Carlo problems and that cannot be removed by adjusting the starting value, multiplier, or modulus. The problem lies in the "crystalline" nature of multiplicative generators-if $n$-tuples ( $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ ), $\left(u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{n+1}\right), \ldots$ of uniform variates produced by the generator are viewed as points in the unit cube of $n$ dimensions, then all the points will be found to lie in a relatively small number of parallel hyperplanes. Furthermore, there are many systems of parallel hyperplanes which contain all of the points; the points are about as randomly spaced in the unit $n$-cube as the atoms in a perfect crystal at absolute zero.

One can readily think of Monte Carlo problems where such regularity in "random" points in $n$-space would be unsatisfactory; more disturbing is the possibility that for the past 20 years such regularity might have produced bad, but unrecognized, results in Monte Carlo studies which have used multiplicative generators.

Some Notation.-For any modulus $m$ and multiplier $k$, let

$$
r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \ldots \quad 0<r_{i}<m
$$

be a sequence of residues of $m$ generated by the recurrence relation

$$
r_{i+1} \equiv k r_{i} \text { modulo } m
$$

and let $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots$ be that sequence viewed as fractions of $m$ :

$$
u_{1}=r_{1} / m, u_{2}=r_{2} / m, u_{3}=r_{3} / m, \ldots
$$

Let $\pi_{i}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), \pi_{2}=\left(u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n+1}\right), \pi_{3}=\left(u_{3}, \ldots, u_{n+2}\right), \ldots$ be points of the unit $n$-cube formed from $n$ successive $u$ 's.

Theorem 1. If $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ is any choice of integers such that

$$
c_{1}+c_{2} k+c_{3} k^{2}+\ldots+c_{n} k^{n-1} \equiv 0 \text { modulo } m
$$

then all of the points $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots$, will lie in the set of parallel hyperplanes defined by the equations

$$
c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} x_{n}=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots .
$$

There are at most

$$
\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|+\ldots+\left|c_{n}\right|
$$

of these hyperplanes which intersect the unit $n$-cube, and there is always a choice of $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ such that all of the points fall in fewer than ( $\left.n!m\right)^{1 / n}$ hyperplanes.

Here is a table of $(n!m)^{1 / n}$ for the most common values of $m$, powers of 2 :
Upper Bound for the Number of Planes Containing All $n$-tuples

|  | $n=3$ | $n=4$ | $n=5$ | $n=6$ | $n=7$ | $n=8$ | $n=9$ | $n=10$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $m=2^{16}$ | 73 | 35 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| $m=2^{24}$ | 465 | 141 | 72 | 47 | 36 | 30 | 26 | 23 |
| $m=2^{32}$ | 2,953 | 566 | 220 | 120 | 80 | 60 | 48 | 41 |
| $m=2^{35}$ | 5,907 | 952 | 333 | 170 | 108 | 78 | 61 | 51 |
| $m=2^{36}$ | 7,442 | 1,133 | 383 | 191 | 119 | 85 | 66 | 54 |
| $m=2^{48}$ | 119,086 | 9,065 | 2,021 | 766 | 391 | 240 | 167 | 126 |

For example, in a binary computer with 32 -bit words, $m=2^{32}$, fewer than 41 hyperplanes will contain all 10 -tuples, fewer than 566 hyperplanes will contain all 4 -tuples, and fewer than 2,953 planes will contain all 3 -tuples. (The generator $r_{i+1} \equiv k r_{i} \bmod 2^{32}$ will produce $357,913,941$ independent points in the unit 3-cube, and theoretically the smallest number of planes containing all these points is about $10^{8}$, in contrast to the bound of 2,953 .)

The theorem can be proved in four steps:
Step 1: If

$$
c_{1}+c_{2} k+c_{3} k^{2}+\ldots+c_{n} k^{n-1} \equiv 0 \text { modulo } m
$$

then

$$
c_{1} u_{i}+c_{2} u_{i+1}+\ldots+c_{n} u_{i+n-1}
$$

is an integer for every $i$, and thus
Step 2: The point $\pi_{i}=\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{i+n-1}\right)$ must lie in one of the hyperplanes

$$
c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} x_{n}=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots
$$

Step 3: The number of hyperplanes of the above type which intersect the unit $n$-cube, $0<x_{1}<1, \ldots, 0<x_{n}<1$, is at most

$$
\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|+\ldots+\left|c_{n}\right|
$$

and
Step 4: For every multiplier $k$ and modulus $m$ there is a set of integers $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ (not all zero) such that

$$
c_{1}+c_{2} k+c_{3} k^{2}+\ldots+c_{n} k^{n-1} \equiv 0 \text { modulo } m
$$

and

$$
\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|+\ldots+\left|c_{n}\right| \leq(n!m)^{1 / n}
$$

To prove Step 1, note that by using the greatest integer notation [ ], the sequence $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots$ can be put in the form

$$
r_{1}, k r_{1}-m\left[k r_{1} / m\right], k^{2} r_{1}-m\left[k^{2} r_{1} / m\right], k^{3} r_{1}-m\left[k^{3} r_{1} / m\right], \ldots
$$

and thus the sequence $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots$ may be written

$$
\frac{r_{1}}{m}-\left[\frac{r_{1}}{m}\right], \frac{k r_{1}}{m}-\left[\frac{k r_{1}}{m}\right], \frac{k^{2} r_{1}}{m}-\left[\frac{k^{2} r_{1}}{m}\right], \frac{k^{3} r_{1}}{m}-\left[\frac{k^{3} r_{1}}{m}\right], \ldots
$$

Clearly, if $c_{1}+c_{2} k+\ldots+c_{n} k^{n-1}$ is a multiple of $m$, then $c_{1} u_{i}+\ldots+c_{n} u_{i+n-1}$ will be an integer.

Step 2 follows immediately from Step 1, and Step 3 is easily verified.
Now, for Step 4 we want to prove that there are integers $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ not all zero such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+c_{2} k+c_{3} k^{2}+\ldots+c_{n} k^{n-1} \equiv 0 \text { modulo } m \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|+\ldots+\left|c_{n}\right| \leq(n!m)^{1 / n}
$$

To do this we transform the problem so that it becomes a standard one in the geometry of numbers: every solution to (1) can be expressed (uniquely) by the relation

$$
\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
\mathrm{m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
-k & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -k & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -k & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the $t$ 's are integers. Thus the problem is to show that there are integers $t_{1}, \ldots t_{n}$ not all zero such that

$$
\left|m t_{1}-k t_{2}\right|+\left|t_{2}-k t_{3}\right|+\ldots+\left|t_{n-1}-k t_{n}\right|+\left|t_{n}\right| \leq(n!m)^{1 / n}
$$

This follows from a general theorem on linear forms by Minkowski, using the basic result that a symmetric, convex set of volume $2^{n}$ in $n$-space must contain a point (other than the origin) with integral coordinates. Elegant, elementary proofs are now available; see, e.g., Hardy and Wright, ${ }^{2}$ pages 394-396 and 413, or Cassels, ${ }^{1}$ pages 150-153.

Step 4 together with the Steps 1-3 complete the proof of Theorem 1-every multiplicative random number generator produces $n$-tuples of uniform variates which lie in at most $(n!m)^{1 / n}$ parallel hyperplanes. Furthermore, any choice of $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ which satisfies congruence (1) will provide a set of at most $\left|c_{1}\right|+\ldots+$ $\left|c_{n}\right|$ parallel hyperplanes which contain all of the $n$-tuples produced by the
generator. Similar results can be established for congruential generators of the type $\quad r_{i+1} \equiv k r_{i}+c \bmod m$.
${ }^{1}$ Cassels, J. W. S., An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation (London: Cambridge University Press, 1965).
${ }^{2}$ Hardy, G. H., and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers (Oxford University Press, 1960), 4th ed.

